

LAW AND FINANCIAL SERVICES REGULATION

MODERATORS REPORT – MAY 2025

Introduction

78 scripts were received for post-moderation out of which 26 scripts representing 33.33% were sampled for a remark. The sample were selected from the scores mainly from between 45% - 55% as well as those above 80%. This was to reduce the risk of failing a candidate who could have passed and passing a candidate who ought to fail. And also, to reduce that only deserving candidates have the privilege of a distinction.

S/N	STUDENT ID NUMBER	MARKS AWARDED	MODERATED MARKS	REMARKS
1	10008202	79	80	Additional one (1) mark awarded to section B question 2.
2	10008248	81	81	-----
3	10008251	76	76	-----
4	10008257	76	76	-----
5	10008263	76	76	-----
6	10008170	48	48	-----
7	10008723	49	49	-----
8	10008240	50	50	-----
9	10008049	86	86	-----
10	10008044	68	68	-----
11	10008040	89	89	-----
12	10007982	79	80	Additional one (1) mark awarded to section B question 2.
13	10008412	78	80	Additional two (2) marks gained from section C question 2
14	10006943	62	62	-----
15	10008274	69	69	-----
16	10008287	67	67	-----
17	10007611	51	51	-----
18	10008304	85	85	-----
19	10008239	38	38	-----

20	10008291	68	70	Section A question 2 deemed to warrant an additional (two) 2 marks
21	10008344	76	76	-----
22	10008356	60	60	-----
23	10008365	79	80	Section C question 1 awarded an additional mark
24	10007949	79	80	Additional one (1) mark awarded to Section B question 2
25	10007742	46	48	Additional one (1) mark each awarded to Section B question 1 and 2
26	10007983	75	75	-----

CONCLUSION

Over all the marks awarded reflected the body of knowledge in the subject matter as demonstrated by the candidates.



Philip. K. Buabeng (FCIB) esq.